

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,920 10/06/2000		Edward Archibald	HWT-00-001 3041		
7590 08/15/2005			EXAMINER		
Timothy A Brisson			SAIN, GAUTAM		
Sierra Patent G PO Box 6149	roup Ltd	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Stateline, NV 89449			2176		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/680,92		ARCHIBALD ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Gautam Sa	ain	2176			
T	he MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 June 2005</u> .							
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Sir	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-13,15-21 and 23-27 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-13,15-21 and 23-27 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application	Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice of 3) Informati	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/680,920 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1) This is a Non-Final rejection in response to Applicant's Amendments/Remarks filed on 6/2/05.

- 2) Pending claims: 1-5,7-13,15-21,23-27.
- 3) New Reference introduced: Ledoux (6757573, see below for details).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4-1) Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 10, 13, 17, 18, 21, 25, 26, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Catona</u> (US 6288319, filed Dec 2, 1999), in view of <u>Ledoux</u> et al (US 6757573, filed Nov 1999).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 17, 25, Catona teaches choosing ... client node (ie., over a computer network ... selecting a pre-recorded song from a database)(col 2, lines 10-20)(additionally, examiner interprets soundscapes to being pre-recorded songs/noise or aesthetically pleasing sounds to the listener).

Catona teaches recording ... a message (ie., recording a vocal track on the client computer)(col 2, lines 15-20).

Catona teaches mixing ... manner (ie., mixing the vocal track with pre-recorded song ...)(col 2, lines 15-20).

Art Unit: 2176

Catona does not expressly teach allowing a user to create multi-part soundscape over which a personalized greeting may be recorded and the other amended limitations, but Ledoux does suggest these limitations (ie., media graph enables a sound designer to associate sound files with various nodes using a tool for designing and implementing interactive soundscapes based on existing audio files, such as waveform audio files, MIDI files, or audio streams (col 1, line 53 – col 2, line 7)(additionally, the sink nodes allow for audio data to be played in different sounds simultaneously and in rapid succession and allow for variances in volume of the channels, creating a fade in/out sound; Examiner broadly interprets the claim language "front punctuating sound, a background, and a back punctuating sound," as equivalent as these teachings of Ledous).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Catona to include a tool for designing and implementing interactive soundscapes based on existing audio files and associated sound files as taught by Ledoux, providing the benefit of enabling a sound designer to work independently of the developer of a media application for audio and no-audio portions of a media application (Ledous, col 1, lines 45-50).

Regarding claims 2, 10, 18, 26, Catona teaches Internet (col 1, lines 45-50).

Regarding claims 5, 13, 21, 27, Cantona teaches act of interleaving ... soundscape (ie., mixer combines the pre-recorded song and the custom audio track)(col 2, lines 66-67).

Art Unit: 2176

4-2) Claims 3, 4, 11, 12, 19, 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Catona</u> (as cited above) and <u>Ledoux</u> (as cited above), in view of <u>Hsu</u> (US 586006, issued Jan 1999, see IDS).

Regarding claims 3, 11, 19, Cantona in view of Ledous does not expressly teach, but Hsu teaches act of ... message (ie., when the message is finished, the background music increases, thus reducing the silence after the completion of the message)(col 3, lines 1-13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Cantona in view of Ledoux to include reducing the silence after the completion of the message by increasing the music as taught by Hsu, providing the benefit of automatically providing background for a card message recording system (Abstract section).

Regarding claims 4, 12, 20, Cantona does not expressly teach, but Hsu teaches normalizing said recording message (ie., mixer mixes the background music with the speech signals by controlling their volume ... music fades gradually (col 3, lines 25-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Cantona in view of Ledoux to include a mixer that mixes the background music with speech signals by controlling their volume as music fades gradually as taught by Hsu, providing the benefit of automatically providing background for a card message recording system (Abstract section).

Art Unit: 2176

4-3) Claims 7, 15, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Catona</u> (as cited above) and <u>Ledoux</u> (as cited above), in view of <u>Dawson</u> (US 6252588, filed Jun 16, 1998).

Page 5

Regarding claims 7, 15, 23, Catona in view of Ledoux does not expressly teach, but Dawson teaches determining ... message (ie., running tabulations of the length of recording)(col 14, lines 11-12).

Catona teaches mixing ... punctuating ..., mixing ... punctuating sound ..., mixing ... background ..., mixing ... recorded message ..., mixing ... background punctuating ... level (ie., prerecorded songs and custom audio track, a karaoke-style implementation ... user sings into microphone in recorder. Mixer combines the prerecorded song and custom audio track into a mixed track.)(col 2, lines 50-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Catona in view of Ledoux to include running tabulations of the length of recording as taught by Dawson, providing the benefit of an audio visual e-mail system of the invention that reduces the complexity of sending and receiving audio visual e-mail message to a level that allows a user to send and receive audio visual e-mail with a minimum of inconvenience (Dawson, Abstract section).

4-4) Claims 8, 16, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Catona</u> (as cited above) and <u>Ledoux</u> (as cited above), in view of <u>Dawson</u> (US 6252588, filed Jun 16, 1998), in view of <u>Hsu</u> (as cited above).

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding claims 8, 16, 24, Caton in view of Ledoux and Dawson does not expressly teach, but Hsu teaches act of mixing said ... recorded message (ie., background music fades in volume)(col 3, line 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Catona in view of Ledoux and Dawson to include background music that fades in volume as taught by Hsu, providing the benefit of a card message recording system which can automatically provide background music and provide a low-cost, easy-to-implement message recording system which can automatically provide background music and then mix the background music with the speech message for the user (Hsu, col 1, lines 40-50).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5,7-13,15-21,23-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Examiner introduces the Ledoux reference to teach the portions not taught/suggested by Cantona. Specifically, the Applicant argues (on page 7) that Cantona nor Hsu disclose or suggest allowing a user to create a multi-part soundscape over which a personalized greeting may be recorded. Please see the rejection of claim 1 (above) for details and the new line of rejection to render this limitation obvious using the Ledous reference.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam Sain whose telephone number is 571-272-4096. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5 EST.

Art Unit: 2176

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6.5.

GS

Dean J. Boxlese
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7